

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patern and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Acceptodria Virginia 22313-1450

CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. · POU920020089US2 2489 12/08/2003 Brian D. Goodman 10/730,227 7590 11/24/2006 **EXAMINER IBM** Corporation PATEL, DHAIRYA A Intellectual Property Law ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2455 South Road, P386 Poughkeepsie, NY 12601 2151

DATE MAILED: 11/24/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Application No. Applicant(s) **Advisory Action** 10/730.227 GOODMAN ET AL. Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief Examiner **Art Unit** Dhairya A. Patel 2151 --The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 08 November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. 🔲 The Notice of Appeal was filed on \_\_\_\_\_. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). **AMENDMENTS** 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: \_\_\_\_\_. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-33. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: \_\_\_ AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

13. Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

See Continuation Sheet.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/Q8) PaperNo(s).

11. A The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: As per remarks, Applicant stated the following: A). Applicant stated that Morris does not teach or suggest having subscribing users who are unknown to the first user. As per remark A, Examiner respectfully disagrees with the applicant because in column 1 lines 65-67, column 2 lines 1-35, Fig. 2, Morris teaches having unidentified second client (Fig. 2 element 204) who have never met before and are unknown to each other (column 2 lines 18-26). Therefore, it proves that the second client is unknown to the first client even though the first client can see the second client's user name in the "people here list". Just because the first client can view the second client's username does not mean that they know each. As stated in column 2 lines 18-26, the unidentified second lcients are clients who have never met before and are unknown to each other. Examiner would like to point out that nowhere in the claim language does it state that the second client's username or screenname cannot be viewable to the first client. Applicat also states that the present invention is about "the user requesting that a message be broadcast to the group does not know which identifiiers or how many subscribers they will reach" and "At the time of the broadcast and even after the broadcast, the user of the invention does not have any awareness to how many people the message reached". Nowhere in the claim language does it state these features. Therefore applicant's arguments are deemed on persuasive, and Morris reads on the claimed limitations..